

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION**

GREGORY SCOTT, #02106377, §
§
Plaintiff, §
§
v. § Case No. 6:20-cv-479-JDK-JDL
§
KIRK STIEFER, et al., §
§
Defendants. §

**ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

Plaintiff Gregory Scott, a Texas Department of Criminal Justice prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights lawsuit pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge John D. Love for findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations for disposition.

On April 15, 2021, Judge Love issued a Report recommending that Plaintiff's civil rights action be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief could be granted. Docket No. 24 at 12. A copy of this Report was sent to Plaintiff, and Plaintiff acknowledged receipt of the Report on April 23, 2021. Docket No. 25.


This Court reviews the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge de novo only if a party objects within fourteen days of service of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a de novo review, the Court examines the entire record and makes an independent assessment under the law. *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc),

superseded on other grounds by statute, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to file objections from ten to fourteen days).

Here, Plaintiff did not object in the prescribed period. The Court therefore reviews the Magistrate Judge's findings for clear error or abuse of discretion and reviews his legal conclusions to determine whether they are contrary to law. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989), *cert. denied*, 492 U.S. 918 (1989) (holding that, if no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law").

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and the record in this case, the Court finds no clear error or abuse of discretion and no conclusions contrary to law. Accordingly, the Court hereby **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 24) as the findings of this Court. It is therefore **ORDERED** that this case is **DISMISSED** with prejudice for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2)(B) and 1915A(b). All pending motions are **DENIED** as moot.

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this 18th day of May, 2021.



JEREMY D. KERNODLE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE